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## Distribution Utility of the Future

Distribution utilities will no longer just supply electric energy to customers, but will plan for, coordinate, and manage the flow of electric energy to, from, and between customers.

## Northwest Power Act

Priority shall be given: first, to conservation; second, to renewable resources; third, to generating resources utilizing waste heat or generating resources of high fuel conversion efficiency; and fourth, to all other resources.
"Electric power" means electric peaking capacity, or electric energy, or both.
"system cost" means an estimate of all direct costs of a measure or resource over its effective life, including, if applicable, the cost of distribution and transmission to the consumer and, among other factors, waste disposal costs, end-of-cycle costs, and fuel costs (including projected increases), and such quantifiable environmental costs and benefits.
https://www.nwcouncil.org/reports/poweract/

## Steps Toward the Future

$\Theta$ Is Elon Musk the utility of the future?

- Utility business models in transition

Large Supply-Side Capex >>>> Grid Modernization, Reliability, IT

- "Every feeder is a snowflake"
- DER value: Location, Location, Location Battle: Utility Integration Cost vs. DER Value
- Technology (trade allies and vendors) and Customers Utility Roadmaps: pilot>demo>scale
$\epsilon$ Legislative actions that work
- Value of solar DER >>> DRP
- Distribution Resources Planning (CA AB327, WA 2045)
- Rate Strategies (reflect Utility costs, customer preference)
- Combined Heat and Power (WA E2SHB 1095, OR SB 844)
- $\quad$ Support (Mandate) Standards (OpenADR, IEEE1547)
- Demand Response follows Energy Efficiency (NPCC 7th Plan)


## Capacity and Energy

| Capacity (dispatchable) | Energy (variable) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Capacity DSM (aka Demand <br> Response) | Energy DSM (aka Energy <br> Efficiency) |
| Energy Storage (Customer, Utility) | Solar |
| Dispatched Generation | Wind |
| Electric Vehicle Charging |  |
| Combined Heat \& Power |  |
| Smart Inverter services (e.g., VAR Support) |  |

## PNW Needs Flexible Capacity (MW)



## DER Drivers in PNWER

€ Cost declines in solar, storage, and smart grid

- $40 \%$ decline since 2011, Panels \$1.31/Watt to \$.50/Watt (peaker is \$1.2/Watt not including fuel)
- Import tariffs on Chinese solar will slow the steep decline, but decline will continue.
- $\quad \$ .038 / \mathrm{kWh} 20$ year solar PPA for NV Energy
- Tesla's gigafactory to reduce Li-ion battery cost
- Smart building management systems, thermostats, water heaters, motor load, VFDs
© Customer Expectations
- Lower costs, reliability, and environmental concern
€ Economic Development
- PNW: Solar Jobs > 6,000. Energy Efficiency > 25,000 jobs
$\Theta$ Reliability
- $90 \%$ of outages is on distribution system. (200GW of backup power in US)
- PNW requirement for flexible capacity
© Reduced rates
- Avoid costs for Transmission, Distribution, Generation, etc.
- 1990s Puget Sound Reliability: voltage support, targeted EE


## Customers are looking for reliability, self E EOL ENERGY generation, and environmental stewardship.

- Customer desire for self-reliance increasing
- E\&Y: 33\% of the multi-national firms are expected to meet a greater share of their energy needs through self-generation over the next five years

케 Ernst \& Young

## NAVIGANT



## >5,000 Solar Jobs in PNW <br> >25,000 Energy Efficiency Jobs in PNW



## Campus DER for 69kVA Substations <br> EOL ENERGO



## DER for two 69kVA Substations



| Measure | Winter KVA <br> Shed Level 1 | Winter KVA <br> Shed Level 2 | Summer KVA <br> Shed Level 1 | Summer KVA <br> Shed Level 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Command to Low Speed Command VFD to 50\% cfm Convert to Variable Flow Loop Curtail Radiant System Disable Fan Coil Unit Fans Install VFD on Lab Exhaust Fans Lock-Out Elevators Lock-Out EV Chargers Pre-Cool Ice Rink Reduce dP Setpoint <br> Reduce Duct Static Pressure Set Point <br> Reduce Velocity Pressure <br> Remove Bypass Flow Control to dP <br> Shut Off AHU <br> Shut Off Chiller <br> Shut Off DW Booster Pumps <br> Shut Off Electric Boiler <br> Shut Off Heat Pumps <br> Shut Off Heat Recovery <br> Shut Off HR Chiller <br> Shut Off Lights <br> Shut Off Pump <br> Temperature Setback <br> Tune VFD Controls | 4 0 0 8 0 83 0 50 0 19 321 9 11 11 0 71 40 0 0 0 220 12 68 22 | 12 0 0 0 120 0 500 0 0 0 0 117 66 0 0 108 146 191 0 21 0 0 | 4 0 0 8 0 83 0 50 0 9 321 9 11 11 0 71 40 0 0 0 220 12 274 22 | 12 0 0 0 120 0 500 0 0 0 0 117 949 0 0 0 0 0 0 21 117 0 |
| Totals | 949 | 1281 | 1145 | 1836 |

## NPV of Substation Capacity DSM

Total Value Benefit \$ MM

## $\epsilon$ EOL ENERGY



## Distribution Resource Planning (DRP) € EOl ENERGI

- Purpose is for distribution planning to include DER energy capacity, "smart" capabilities, energy efficiency, and market incentives during long-term distribution planning
- These factors would then be balanced against the avoided costs of ""traditional" distribution planning



## SCE Available Capacity by Line Section

ArcGis - DERiM Web Map

## $\in$ Ell liffay

 2 Sign $\ln$

## Evolution of DRP Optimal Location Benefits Analysis

- What are the immediate benefit categories that can reasonably be evaluated?
- What are the next logical set (incl. data and tools needed) for system-wide DRPs?



## PNW: Conservation and Demand

 Response Lowest Cost, Lowest RiskUS 2013 DR > 28,000 MW


Source: Northwest Power and Conservation Council, Mar. 2015

## Energy Efficiency Net Benefit ~\$1 Billion for BPA

Annual Value of Bonneville's 2001-2011 Energy Efficiency Investments Over Their Expected Measure Life


## Focus on Peak Demand Reduction

## $\Theta$ Eal ElIERYY



## DER will be $23 \%$ of western power by

| DER | 2022 WECC <br> $($ (MW) | 2013 PNW <br> (MW) | 2022 PNW <br> Market <br> Potential ${ }^{\mathbf{2}, 3}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Solar (Helena better than Jacksonville FL) | 25,000 | 188 | 2,300 |
| Combined Heat and Power (CHP) | 9,000 | 15 | 1,000 |
| Demand Response - Renewable Integration | 2,600 | 0 | 305 |
| Demand Response - Peak Reduction | 4,700 | 420 | 1,000 |
| Energy Storage | 1,800 | 5 | 55 |
| Dispatchable Backup Generators |  | 100 | 800 |
| Energy Efficiency (amounts not included) |  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 3 , 4 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 , 6 6 0}$ |

1. Source: EQL Energy for Western Interstate Energy Board May 2015,
2. Summary of 2013 TEPPC high DG case, 2013 LBNL
3. http://www.westernenergyboard.org/sptsc/workgroups/dsmwg/webinars/2013/2-HiDSM-DGwebdr.pdf

## Stakeholders

- Distribution Utility
- Utility Shareholders
- Regulators
- Ratepayers
- DER owners
- Economic Development
- (politicians/business associations)
- Solar industry (175,000 employed)
- Cleantech Companies
- Third party DER, Retail energy providers
- Utility Distribution Equipment Vendors
- Concerned Citizens
€ Legislative / Regulatory actions
- Support Utility Transition in business models
- Value ofsotár DER >>> DRP
- Distribution Resources Planning (CA AB327, WA 2045)
- Utility Roadmaps - pilot>demo>scale
- Combined Heat and Power (WA E2SHB 1095, OR SB 844)
- Support (Mandate) Standards -OpenADR, IEEE1547
- Obtain Demand Response as we have Energy Efficiency (NPCC 7 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Plan)


## Ken Nichols, Principal, EQL Energy 5034388223 <br> ken@eqlenergy.com <br> www.eqlenergy.com

Link to Western Interstate Energy Board paper:
Emerging Changes in Electric Distribution Systems in Western States and Provinces
http://westernenergyboard.org/2015/05/final-report-released-by-eql

## Extra Slides

## Utility Business Models

What does your utility see as its biggest growth opportunity over thenext fiveyears?


## Powerful Macro Trends Drive

 Home Standby Penetration Opportunity
## North American Penetration Opportunity ${ }^{(4)}$



## Every 1\% of increased penetration equals ~ \$2 billion of market opportunity

(1) Source: North American Electrical Reliability Council, U.S. Energy Information Administration. Affecting more than 50,000 customers.
(2) At $\$ 1 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{mile}$.
(3) Source: Company warranty registration data
(4) Source: Management estimates

Utility Distribution of the Future


## PNW Needs Capacity (MW)



Source: Northwest Power and Conservation Council, Mar. 2015

## PNW projection for roof-top solar



## Net Metering \& Value of Solar

€ Net Metering and VOS under review in most states

- Avoided Costs

1. Energy Costs
2. System Generation Capacity Additions
3. Reduced Transmission line losses (System Losses)
4. Avoided Transmission and Distribution
5. Ancillary Services and Grid Support
6. Avoided Natural Gas Pipeline Costs
7. Avoided Renewable Costs (RPS states)
8. Environmental
9. Financial: Fuel Price Hedge (adjustable mechanism)
10. Financial: Market Price Response
11. Security: Reliability and Resiliency (Risk)
12. Social: Economic Development
13. "Behind-the-Meter Production During Billing Month
14. (Valuing the benefit of load reduction from net metering)"
15. Utility: Integration Costs
16. Utility: Interconnection Costs
17. Utility: Administration Costs
18. $\quad$ Rate Impacts: Net Metering Credits
19. (Covers the difference between the retail rate credit for excess generation and the avoided cost rate)"
20. Rate Impacts: Lost Utility Revenue
21. Incentive Costs (i.e. utility rebates (NV)
22. Tax credits (State and Federal)
23. Location Value

## Estimated Value of Solar in Idaho

| Component | $\mathbf{1}$ MW DC, yearly | Per MWh |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Energy | $\$ 43,000$ to $\$ 48,500$ | $\$ 32$ to $\$ 35$ |
| Line loss | $\$ 3,200$ to $\$ 3,600$ | $\$ 2$ to $\$ 3$ |
| Wheeling | $\$ 0$ to $\$ 6,900$ | $\$ 0$ to $\$ 5$ |
| Peak capacity | $\$ 0$ to $\$ 28,100$ | $\$ 5$ to $\$ 21$ |
| Renewable portfolio standard | $\$ 0$ to $\$ 6,800$ | $\$ 0$ to $\$ 5$ |
| Hedge | $\$ 0$ to $\$ 2,700$ | $\$ 0$ to $\$ 2$ |
| Integration | $(\$ 1,400)$ to $\$ 0$ | $(\$ 1)$ to $\$ 0$ |
| Transmission capacity | - | - |
| Distribution system | - | - |
| Externalities | - | - |
| Voltage control | - | - |
| Total | $\mathbf{\$ 4 4 , 9 0 0}$ to $\mathbf{\$ 9 6 , 7 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 3 8}$ to $\mathbf{\$ 7 1}$ |

- Solar: not now, DR target T\&D


## © Eall Eliffig

## Washington

## Afivista

- DRP Proposed (HB 2045)
- Avista - Distribution Automation (DA)

Oregon

- DER Study in PGE IRP


84

- Dispatchable Standby Generation (DSG)

Colorado

- Wind more pressing concern
- Xcel Energy VVO \& DMS Investment

Utah

- Growth potential: QF and utility solar

9,977
California

- Distribution Resources Planning
- 12,000 MW DER Target
- Push for DER other than PV (storage)

Arizona

- IOU Rooftop Solar Pilot
- APS VVO, DMS, \& DA




## Distrilbution Resources Planning Purposes EUL E\|ERCil

(1)

- Identify optimal locations for Distributed Energy Resources
- Evaluate locational benefits of DERs based on:
- Reductions versus increases in local generation capacity needs
- Avoided costs versus increased investment for distribution infrastructure, safety benefits, reliability benefits
- Any other savings or costs that DERs may provide to the grid or to ratepayers
- Integrated Capacity Analysis
- Propose or identify standard tariffs, contracts, or other mechanisms for deployment of cost-effective DERs that satisfy distribution planning objectives


## DRP Process: "More Than Smart (MTS)" Working Group

$\epsilon$ Purpose:

- Provide an open, voluntary stakeholder forum to discuss core issues
$\epsilon$ Objectives:
- Define common parameters for the development of distribution planning scenarios
- Identify and define the integrated engineering-economic analysis required to conduct distribution planning in the context of AB 327 requirements
- Identify the considerations to meet customers' needs and California's policy objectives.
- Define the scope and parameters of an operational/DER market information exchange
- Define distribution services associated with identified DER values, including performance requirements


## DER Wholesale Value Components (1/2) € Eal ENERGY

Objective is to define a list of mutually exclusive and collectively exhaustive (MECE) value categories

| Value Component | Definition |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | WECC Bulk Power System Benefits | Regional BPS benefits not reflected in System Energy Price or LMP

## DER Distribution Value Components (2/2) € EUL ENERGY

|  | Value Component | Definition |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Subtransmission, Substation \& Feeder Capacity (NEM 2.0 modified) | Reduced need for local distribution system upgrades |
|  | Distribution Losses (NEM 2.0) | Value of energy due to losses between wholesale transaction and distribution points of delivery |
|  | Distribution Steady-State Voltage | Improved steady-state (generally > 60 sec ) voltage, voltage limit violation relief, reduced voltage variability, compensating reactive power |
|  | Distribution Power Quality | Improved transient voltage and power quality, including momentary outages, voltage sags, surges, and harmonic compensation. May also extend the life of distribution equipment |
|  | Distribution Reliability + Resiliency+ Security | Reduced frequency and duration of outages \& ability to withstand and recover from external natural, physical and cyber threats |
|  | Distribution Safety | Improved public safety and reduced potential for property damage |
| $\overline{0}$.000$\infty$$\infty$$\vdots$00000 | Customer Choice | Customer \& societal value from robust market for customer alternatives |
|  | CO2 Emissions (NEM 2.0 modified) | Reductions in federal and/or state carbon dioxide emissions (CO2) based on cap-and-trade allowance revenue or cost savings or compliance costs |
|  | Criteria Pollutants | Reduction in local emissions in specific census tracts utilizing tools like CalEnviroScreen. Reduction in health costs associated with GHG emissions |
|  | Energy Security | Reduced risks derived from greater supply diversity and less lumpiness |
|  | Water Use | Synergies between DER and water management (electric-water nexus) |
|  | Land Use | Environmental benefits \& avoided property value decreases from DER deployment instead of large generation projects |
|  | Economic Impact |  income) |

## Locational Value: Assessment of DER by Adding Avoided Costs and Benefits

Locational Value: Adding Avoided Costs and Benefits
Illustrative

$\Theta$ Develop long term distribution planning roadmaps
$\checkmark$ Use Open Stakeholder process for roadmap
$\checkmark$ Include if and when formal DRPs are necessary for which locations
$\checkmark$ Include risk assessments of technologies and reliability of resources
© Do not re-invent what has already been achieved
$\checkmark$ Use existing DRP costing methodology, as applicable
$\checkmark \quad$ Follow SIWG technological requirements and IEEE 1547 standard
$\checkmark$ Use existing integration and communication standards for interoperability
$\Theta$ Address cost allocation early
$\checkmark$ Focus on "least regrets" solutions
$\checkmark$ Enhance market equitability ("fairness") over time (not just the last DER)
$\checkmark$ Provide pricing and investment stability
$\checkmark$ Minimize technological obsolesetence

## Addressing EV Load Growth



## EV Cars in Urban Markets

## 7,896 Electric Vehicles registered in Washington

As of January 1, 2014


Map inciudes Plug-In Electric Vehicies (EVs) produced by major automakers since about 2011. It does not include cars that were converted to EV s by their owners, neighborhood $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{s}$ or EV models from the 1990 's that are still registered in Washington. WSDOT created this map based on data provided by the Washington State Department of Licensing.
Washington State
Department of Transportation

Source: Washington State Department of Transportation

## EV Cars in Urban Markets



## Roadmaps



## Without Planning DER integration may

| Item | Violation Trigger | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Installed DG (MW) |  | 902 |
| Regulator | Feeder Reverse Flow | $\$ 308,000$ |
| LTC | Substation Transformer Reverse Flow | $\$ 1,642,000$ |
| Reconductoring | Exceed 50\% Backbone Conductor/Cable | $\$ 75,588,700$ |
| Substation Transformer <br> and Switchgear | Exceed 50\% Capacity | $\$ 54,766,000$ |
| Distribution Transformer | Exceed 100\% Loading, \% GDML Linear <br> Relationship to \% Transformers Upgraded | $\$ 15,617,535$ |
| Poles and Secondary | Assumed 15\% of Distribution Transformer <br> Replacements need poles/secondary | $\$ 3,533,342$ |
| Grounding Transformers | Exceed 33\% GDML (66\% in model) | $\$ 43,045,200$ |
| Total |  | $\$ 194,500,777$ |

